



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

01/16/2003	PATRICK E. PATTERSON	09939/003001	2717
01/16/2003	•		
.P		EXAMI	INER
ARD		KANG, P	'AUL H
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			ARD KANG, P

DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/231,714	PATTERSON, PATRICK E.
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Paul H Kang	2142
Period for Reply	rears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of the vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 C</u>	<u> October 2002</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	J.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers	_	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		to dead to be other Francisco
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 January 2002</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		disapproved by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	i priority ariabi ob o.o.o	3 1 10(4) (3) (1).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 	• •	
Attachment(s)	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2142

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "requested data" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. In order to further the prosecution of this patent application, "requested data" will be interpreted as "the requested data".

Claim 5 recites "wherein the instructions comprise instructions that query the first computer for <u>instructions</u>." The meaning of the third occurrence of instruction is unclear. In order to further the prosecution of this patent application, the third occurrence of "instructions" will be interpreted as "said information".

The Applicant is invited to amend the claim language to more distinctly point out the Applicants' invention.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powell, US Pat. No. 6,067,526 in view of Gupta et al., US Pat. No. 6,484,156 B1.

Art Unit: 2142

4. As to claims 1-6, 20, 21 and 22, Powell teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Powell teaches a computer program and method of delivering electronic content, the computer program and method comprising:

providing instructions that cause a first computer to... transmit a second information

for computer in response to a request for a first information 2 (2)

(Powell, col. 9, line 46 – col. 10, line 51 and col. 13, lines 5-46); and

processing the transmitted second information at the second computer and selecting — electronic content for transmission and transmitting the selected electronic content (Powell, col. 9, line 46 – col. 10, line 51 and col. 13, lines 5-46).

However, Powell does not explicitly teach <u>dynamically</u> transmitting the second information, wherein there is no manual user input of user information. Instead, Powell prompts the user to enter the e-mail and other information prior to transmitting the request (Powell, col. 13, lines 5-46).

In the same field of endeavor, Gupta teaches a system for dynamically transmitting user information to a remote server (Gupta transmits user information including a forward to address dynamically upon user instruction to "Add New Annotation"; Gupta, col. 13, line 6-37). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated dynamic transmission of information, as taught by Gupta, into the system of Powell for the purpose of increasing the efficiency of the data transfer.

,

Art Unit: 2142

- 5. As to claims 7 and 8, Powell-Gupta teach providing demographic and system information (Powell, col. 10, lines 5-34).
- 6. As to claims 9-11, Powell-Gupta teach processing the information comprising executing a CGI script (Powell, col. 7, line 15 col. 8, line 44).
- 7. As to claim 12-16, Powell-Gupta teach a system wherein the electronic content comprises text, graphics, audio, video or executable instructions (Powell, col. 9, line 7 col. 10, line 51 and col. 13, line 5 col.14, line 33 and Gupta, col. 6, line 1 col. 7, line 12 and col. 11, line 50 col. 13, line 26).
- 8. As to claims 17-19, Powell-Gupta teach a system wherein the information comprises selecting electronic content based on the transmitted information, and further comprising identification of the instructions and the computer that transmitted the information (Gupta, col. 13, line 6 col. 14, line 38).
- 9. As to claim 23, Powell-Gupta teach a system wherein the instructions that cause the processor to select electronic content comprise instructions that cause the processor to use a table that indicates electronic content corresponding to data included in the received information (Powell, col. 16, lines 22-62).

Art Unit: 2142

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are moot in

Page 5

view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Applicant argued in substance that the prior art of

record does not teach the newly added feature of dynamically transmitting information including

e-mail address. The new grounds of rejection teaches this feature.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Paul H Kang whose telephone number is (703) 308-6123. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour flex. First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark Powell can be reached on (703) 305-9703. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular

communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Paul H Kang

/Examiner

Art Unit 2142

January 13, 2003